Research Summary: Instructional Design for Adult Learners – Theories, Models, & Practices

August 2025

Presented By: Karissa Hughes, MSW

Academy for Professional Excellence

WI Workforce Innovations

The Academy for Professional Excellence is a project of the
San Diego State University School of Social Work

Table of Contents

I. Overview **2**
II. Foundational Instructional Design Theories **3**
Andragogy 3
Social Learning and Communities of Practice 4
Self-Directed and Situated Learning Approaches 5
III. Instructional Design Models: Foundational to Modern **6**
The ADDIE Model 7
Agile and Successive Approximation Model (SAM) 8
Historical Instructional Design Models 10
Modern Iterative Instructional Design Models 13
IV. Current Instructional Design Practices **14**
Blended and Technology-Enhanced Learning 15
Microlearning and Gamification 15
Learning Experience Design (LxD) 16
Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Data-Driven Learning Design 16
Personalized and Adaptive Learning 17
Simulations, Immersive Technology, and Experiential Learning 17
Collaborative and Social Learning Approaches 18
Equity and Accessibility Considerations 18
Measuring Training Effectiveness and Return on Investment (ROI) 19
V. Applying Modern Instructional Design to Social Work Competencies **20**

Note on AI Use: Artificial intelligence (AI) was used as a resource to assist the preparer in drafting and synthesizing content for this report. All information, data, and sources cited were independently verified against official documents and authoritative references. The report was further researched, edited, and revised by the preparer to ensure accuracy, clarity, and completeness.

I. Overview

The field of instructional design for workforce development is experiencing a significant transformation, shifting from traditional, didactic training methods to a dynamic, learner-centered approach. This change reflects a deeper understanding of adult learning principles, the need for adaptable and responsive training solutions, and the importance of preparing professionals for complex, high-stakes work environments.

Effective training is increasingly recognized as a continuous process rather than a one-time, linear event. The core principles of adult learning, such as self-direction and the motivation to solve real-world problems, provide the foundation for new design methodologies. By adopting iterative frameworks and strategically utilizing modern modalities, organizations can help their staff develop complex skills in safe and sustainable ways, moving away from passive, compliance-based approaches.[1]

Summary of Key Findings:

- Instructional design is shifting from content delivery to a strategic, human- centered, and learner-driven practice.[2]
- Adult learning theories, such as Andragogy and Social Learning, emphasize experiential, problem-oriented, and collaborative approaches.[3]
- Iterative instructional design models, including Agile and SAM (Successive Approximation Model), allow organizations to rapidly adapt to changing learner and workforce needs.[4]
- Emerging modalities such as virtual simulations, virtual reality (VR), and augmented reality (AR) are building practical, competency-based skills in safe and controlled environments.[5]

---

[1] ELM Learning. (March 2025). *Agile Instructional Design: Revolutionizing Modern Learning.* ELM Learning.
[2] Lasky, J. (2024). *EBSCO Knowledge Advantage Starters-ADDIE Model.* EBSCO Information Services, Inc.
[3] Bhamidi, V. (January 2025). *5 Adult Learning Theories for Instructional Designers.* Easygenerator.
[4] ELM Learning. (March 2025). *Agile Instructional Design: Revolutionizing Modern Learning.* ELM Learning.
[5] Baker, E., & Jenney, A. (2022). Virtual simulations to train social workers for competency-based learning: A scoping review. *Journal of Social Work Education, 59*(1), 8–31.; Hey, A. (September 2024). *7 Instructional Design Examples for Your Next Training Course*. Coursebox.; (2021). Armstrong, S., McLaughlin, A.R. & Gadarowski, J.C. (2021). Enhancing Competency-Based Education with Virtual Reality Simulation. In A. deNoyelles, A. Albrecht, S. Bauer, & S. Wyatt (Eds.), *Teaching Online Pedagogical Repository*. Orlando, FL: University of

- The expansion of blended learning, mobile platforms, microlearning, and AI-driven tools is enhancing accessibility, personalization, and just-in-time knowledge application.[6]
- Training for core social work competencies (e.g., ethics, cultural competence, and trauma-informed care) is moving beyond knowledge acquisition toward shaping behaviors and attitudes through interactive design strategies.[7]

This research summary highlights how these trends are shaping instructional design to better support adult learners in complex and evolving professional environments.

II. Foundational Instructional Design Theories

Effective instructional design for workforce development must be grounded in an understanding of how adults learn and retain information. This requires a departure from traditional pedagogical models, which are based on a teacher-dependent learner, toward principles that recognize the unique characteristics of the adult learner.

- **Andragogy**[8]
  Malcolm Knowles defined andragogy as the art and science of adult learning, referring to any form of learning undertaken by adults. This approach emphasizes that adults learn differently from children, making it an important foundation for training and development professionals engaged in workforce staff development. At its core, andragogy proposes that adults are self-directed and capable of taking responsibility for their own learning journeys. Unlike children, who often rely heavily on teachers for guidance and resources, adults bring a wealth of life and professional experience to the learning environment, serving as a resource not only for themselves but also for their peers.

Central Florida Center for Distributed Learning.

[6] Cleary, A. (n.d.). *How Blended Learning Enhances Training for a Hybrid Workforce.* Neovation.

[7] Association of Social Work Boards. (2015). ACE Resource Guide to Social Work Ethics Course Development. Association of Social Work Boards. Humana. (2025). *Cultural Humility, Health Equity and Implicit Bias Training for Providers.* Humana.; Center for Health Care Strategies. (n.d.). *Fact Sheet: What is Trauma-Informed Care?* Trauma-Informed Care Implementation Resource Center.

[8] Bhamidi, V. (January 2025). *5 Adult Learning Theories for Instructional Designers.* Easygenerator.; Association for Talent Development (ATD). (2025). *What Is Instructional Design?* ATD.

  - Adult learners are typically motivated by specific goals, such as resolving an immediate problem or improving their job performance. They also want to apply new knowledge as soon as possible. These principles support a training environment where learners have a say in both planning and evaluating their educational experiences.
  - However, a significant challenge arises because, although adults often see themselves as responsible decision-makers, they tend to revert to passive learning styles when they enter formal classroom settings. This can create a disconnect between their intrinsic motivation and the traditional training structure. The solution lies in intentional instructional design that re-engages self-direction through active problem-solving, skills practice, and peer debriefing. These approaches help align adult learning preferences with the methods used to deliver education.

- **Social Learning and Communities of Practice**
  Building on the principles of andragogy, Albert Bandura's Social Learning Theory emphasizes that learners acquire knowledge and skills by integrating their prior understanding with new instruction, observation, and imitation within a social context.[9] In the workplace, this often manifests through employees exchanging knowledge and learning directly from their colleagues.
  - A practical application of this theory is the 70:20:10 model, which suggests that only 10% of learning occurs through formal training, 20% through social interactions, and the remaining 70% through on-the-job, self-directed experiences.[10] This model highlights the importance of creating learning environments that encourage the 90% of informal learning that arises from peer interactions and real-world experiences.
  - This approach closely aligns with the concept of Communities of Practice (CoP), introduced by Lave and Wenger.[11] CoPs are groups of individuals who share a common domain of interest and learn

[9] Bandura, A. (1977). *Social Learning Theory*. Prentice-Has they workall.
[10] Center for Creative Leadership (CCL). (April 2025). *The 70-20-10 Rule for Leadership Development.* CCL.
[11] Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation.* Cambridge University Press.

collaboratively by exchanging ideas, experiences, and best practices while working toward shared goals. From an instructional design perspective, prioritizing and fostering these collaborative, peer-driven spaces can often lead to more meaningful and sustained learning outcomes than relying solely on formal, top-down training.[12]

- **Self-Directed and Situated Learning Approaches**[13]
  Self-directed learning (SDL) is the process through which motivated adult learners take the initiative to set their own goals, identify resources, and design their individual learning paths. This approach facilitates informal and unstructured learning outside traditional training programs, placing the responsibility for growth primarily on the learner. Organizations can enhance SDL by providing a variety of resources and technological tools that empower employees to explore work-related interests and acquire knowledge as needed. SDL aligns closely with the principle of situated learning, which posits that learning is most effective when it occurs in authentic, real-world contexts. In workplace environments, this perspective informs the design of performance support and workflow learning systems, where "just-in-time" resources are provided exactly when needed. By analyzing employee workflows, instructional designers can identify key touchpoints for targeted interventions, such as checklists, brief instructional videos, or curated reference materials that provide immediate guidance and support problem-solving.

The table below summarizes the three foundational theories and principles discussed above, along with their practical applications in instructional design.

[12] Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of Practice. Learning, Meaning, and Identity.* Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
[13] Bhamidi, V. (January 2025). *5 Adult Learning Theories for Instructional Designers.* Easygenerator.; Howitt, C. (June 2025). *What is self-directed learning?: Examples, theories, benefits*. Access Learning.; Embden-Peterson, C. (2023). *The power of self-directed learning.* Training Industry Magazine.

Adult Learning Principle	Core Concept	Instructional Design Application
Andragogy	Adults are self-directed and goal-oriented learners who draw on their prior life and work experiences to enhance their learning.	Effective learning methods for adults include problem- based scenarios, case studies, reflective practice activities, collaborative workshops, and peer-to-peer discussions.
Social Learning & Communities of Practice (CoP)	Learning occurs through observation, modeling, and social interaction within communities of shared interests.	This can be facilitated by peer mentorship programs, team-based projects, expert-led demonstrations, knowledge-sharing platforms, and online discussion forums.
Self-Directed & Situated Learning	Learning is a continuous, self-initiated process that often takes place at the moment of need.	Effective methods for this include microlearning modules, digital job aids, interactive checklists, curated resource libraries, and embedded performance support tools.

III. Instructional Design Models: Foundational to Modern

The practical application of adult learning theory is realized through foundational instructional design models. Modern instructional design approaches emphasize flexibility, iteration, and learner-centered design, moving away from strictly linear processes. These frameworks offer a

structured approach to creating effective and engaging learning experiences.

- **The ADDIE Model**[14]
  The ADDIE Model is the foundational and most commonly referenced model in instructional design. It has been a standard in the industry for decades. Developed in the 1970s for military training, the model outlines five clear phases: Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation.
    - **Analysis**: The initial phase involves conducting needs assessments to identify organizational goals, learning gaps, and the specific characteristics of the target audience.
    - **Design**: This phase involves establishing clear, measurable learning objectives and outlining the content, strategies, delivery methods, and assessments that will be used.
    - **Development**: The instructional materials (such as presentation slides, participant guides, handouts, and job aids) are created and produced.
    - **Implementation**: The developed program is delivered to the learners.
    - **Evaluation**: The effectiveness of the program is assessed based on previously defined success indicators, such as behavioral changes and organizational impacts. Feedback is used to make revisions.

  The ADDIE model is appreciated for its systematic nature and adaptability across various learning contexts.[15] Its primary advantage lies in its reliable and organized approach, which consistently leads to training that equips learners with the knowledge and skills necessary for effective job performance. The structured framework of ADDIE ensures that all key elements of instructional design are addressed, making it particularly well-suited for large-scale training programs. Although the model was originally developed as a linear, "waterfall" process, modern interpretations have transformed ADDIE into a more dynamic cycle that

[14] Lasky, J. (2024). EBSCO Knowledge Advantage Starters-ADDIE Model. EBSCO.; Rouse, M. (n.d.). *ADDIE Model Explained: All You Need to Know*. AIHR.; Evans, L. (2022). *The ADDIE Model for Instructional Design [+Pros/Cons & FAQs]*. University of San Diego.
[15] Reiser, R. A., & Dempsey, J. V. (2018). *Trends and Issues in Instructional Design and Technology* (4th ed.). Pearson.

accommodates iterative revisions at all stages.[16]

- **Agile and Successive Approximation Model (SAM)**[17]
  In response to the rapidly changing needs of today's organizations, agile instructional design models have become increasingly popular. These models follow an iterative process that emphasizes collaboration, flexibility, and continuous improvement. Unlike traditional linear models, Agile is cyclical, delivering learning materials in stages rather than waiting for a single final product. This approach provides immediate value to learners while facilitating early feedback and ongoing iterations.
    - A well-known example of an agile model is the Successive Approximation Model (SAM). SAM serves as a more flexible alternative to ADDIE, promoting iterative design through rapid prototyping and continuous stakeholder feedback. According to Kuzmina (2023), SAM accelerates design processes, enhances flexibility, and reduces both costs and time to delivery.[18] This makes it particularly suitable for workplace environments where learning needs change quickly. SAM and similar models are appreciated for their simplicity, efficiency, and learner-centric focus, allowing them to adapt rapidly to evolving business requirements and learner feedback.

The table below presents a comparative analysis of two core instructional design models: the ADDIE Model and the Agile/Successive Approximation Model (SAM).

[16] Molenda, M. (2003). In search of the elusive ADDIE model. *Performance Improvement, 42*(5), 34–37.
[17] ELM Learning. (March 2025). *Agile Instructional Design: Revolutionizing Modern Learning.* ELM Learning.; Clapp, T. (2024). *What Is Agile Instructional Design? Benefits and Examples*. Coursebox.
[18] Kuzmina, J. (2023). SAM Model: Successive Approximation Model in Instructional Design. *Valamis Learning Hub*.

	ADDIE Model	Agile/SAM
Process	A linear and sequential approach consisting of five phases: Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation.	A cyclical and iterative process that includes repeated cycles of design, development, and evaluation.
Strengths	Provides consistent results, offers a clear structure, and is widely recognized and adopted.	Delivers immediate value, enhances engagement of learners and stakeholders, is highly adaptable to changes, incorporates feedback quickly, and fosters continuous improvement.
Weaknesses	Can be slow and less efficient for rapidly changing content, and may lack flexibility.	It might appear less structured and relies on strong collaboration and stakeholder involvement to be effective.
Best Application	Best suited for formal, large-scale projects where consistency and reliability are top priorities.	Excels in dynamic environments where training content requires flexibility and rapid adaptation to meet evolving needs. Frequent input from learners and stakeholders is crucial, particularly for projects that require continuous updates.

ADDIE and Agile are not about one being superior to the other; rather, they serve complementary roles in instructional design. An effective learning and development strategy can integrate both approaches, using ADDIE to provide a foundational structure and Agile/SAM to facilitate rapid prototyping and continuous improvement of specific learning modules and resources.

While ADDIE and Agile/SAM are the most widely used instructional design models, there are various historical and modern iterative approaches that have also influenced the field, even if they are not as universally adopted.

These include:

Historical Instructional Design Models

- **Bloom's Taxonomy (1956; 2001 update):**[19] A foundational framework used to classify educational objectives and structure learning outcomes. Initially developed in 1956 and updated in 2001, the taxonomy organizes cognitive skills into a hierarchy that progresses from basic knowledge to higher-order thinking. The six levels of Bloom's Taxonomy are: Remember, Understand, Apply, Analyze, Evaluate, and Create. This progression ensures that instructional design goes beyond simple information recall, promoting more advanced skills such as application, critical analysis, and creative problem-solving. In the context of workforce training, Bloom's Taxonomy is particularly valuable for aligning learning objectives with instructional methods. For example, self-paced eLearning is well-suited for lower-order outcomes, such as Remembering and Understanding, while simulations and problem-based exercises are more effective for higher-order outcomes, such as Applying and Analyzing.
- **Kemp/Morrison/Ross Instructional Design Model (1971; 1977/1985 updates; 1994 expansion):**[20] The Kemp family of models emphasizes a flexible, circular approach to instructional design, which stands in contrast to rigid linear frameworks. The original Kemp Model (1971) identified nine interconnected elements that do not follow a fixed order, allowing designers to enter at any point and revisit components as needed. These elements include:
    - Identifying instructional problems and specifying goals for the instructional program.
    - Examining learner characteristics that should be considered during planning.
    - Identifying subject content and analyzing task components

[19] Bloom, B. S., Engelhart, M. D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive domain*. New York, NY: Longmans, Green; Anderson, L. W. and Krathwohl, D. R., et al (Eds..) (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. Allyn & Bacon. Boston, MA: Pearson Education Group.

[20] Kemp, J. E. (1971). *Instructional design: A Plan for Unit and Course Development*. Fearon Publishers.; Smaldino, S. E., Lowther, D. L., & Russell, J. D. (2012). *Instructional Technology and Media for Learning* (10th ed.). Pearson.

related to the goals and purposes.
    - Stating instructional objectives for the learner.
    - Sequencing content within each instructional unit for logical progression.
    - Designing instructional strategies to help learners master the content and objectives.
    - Planning instructional delivery by selecting resources and structuring instruction.
    - Developing evaluation instruments to assess whether objectives have been met.
    - Revising instruction based on evaluation results.

  Later updates by Kemp (1977; 1985)[21] reinforced the model's iterative and non-linear nature, highlighting the importance of ongoing design cycles and adaptability. The expansion by Morrison, Ross, and Kemp in 1994 further developed the model into a more comprehensive systems approach.[22] This version places greater emphasis on context analysis, learner support, and planning for instructional services, making it particularly relevant for large-scale curriculum and program development. Together, these versions form a widely used family of models that strike a balance between systematic planning and flexibility, allowing for continuous revision and adaptation to diverse instructional settings.

- **Dick and Carey Systems Approach (1978, revised editions):**[23] This comprehensive, systems-oriented model emphasizes the interdependence of various components, including objectives, instructional strategies, learner characteristics, and assessments. By treating each element as part of a cohesive system and focusing on the interactions among them, this approach ensures that instruction is measurable, aligned, and focused on outcomes.
- **Knirk & Gustafson Model (1980s):**[24] This model presents a streamlined

[21] Kemp, J. E. (1977). *Instructional Design: A Plan for Unit and Course Development* (2nd ed.). Belmont, CA: Fearon-Pitman Publishers.; Kemp, J. E. (1985). *The Instructional Design Process*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
[22] Morrison, G. R., Ross, S. M., & Kemp, J. E. (1994). *Designing Effective Instruction*. New York, NY: Macmillan College Publishing Company.
[23] Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. O. (2014). *The Systematic Design of Instruction* (8th ed.). Pearson.
[24] Gustafson, K. L., & Branch, R. M. (2002). *Survey of Instructional Development Models* (4th

three-phase sequence consisting of Problem Determination, Design, and Development.
    - *Problem Determination* involves conducting a needs analysis and identifying performance gaps.
    - *Design* emphasizes defining clear learning objectives and selecting appropriate instructional strategies.
    - *Development* focuses on creating and piloting the instructional materials.

  The simplicity of this model makes it effective for program planning; however, its linear sequence offers fewer opportunities for iterative feedback and revision during the initial stages.

- **Heinich, Molenda, Russell, & Smaldino's ASSURE Model (1990s):**[25] This provides a systematic and classroom-focused instructional design process to help teachers effectively integrate technology and media into their lessons. This model follows a six-step, learner-centered approach to ensure that lessons are engaging, purposeful, and aligned with specific learning objectives. ASSURE is an acronym that represents the various steps in the model:
    - Analyze learners: Identify their general characteristics, entry competencies, and learning styles.
    - State objectives: Clearly define the learning goals for the lesson.
    - Select methods, media, and materials: Choose appropriate teaching strategies and resources.
    - Utilize media and materials: Implement the selected resources in the lesson.
    - Require learner participation: Engage students actively in the learning process.
    - Evaluate and revise: Assess the effectiveness of the lesson and make necessary adjustments.
- **Gibbons' Model-Centered Instruction (2001):**[26] This model is grounded in constructivist theory and emphasizes learner engagement through

ed.). ERIC Clearinghouse on Information & Technology.

[25] Kurt, S. (2024). *ASSURE: Instructional Design Model*. Educational Technology.; Pappas, C. (2023). *How Can You Use The ASSURE Instructional Design Model In eLearning?* eLearning Industry.; Heinich, R., Molenda, M., Russell, J. D., & Smaldino, S. E. (1999). *Instructional Media and Technologies for Learning* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/Prentice Hall.

[26] Gibbons, A. S. (2001). Model-centered instruction. *Journal of Structural Learning & Intelligent Systems, 14*(4), 511–540.

mental models or schemas. Knowledge is developed and refined as learners interact with authentic problems and scenarios. Instead of solely focusing on content delivery, this model prioritizes immersive experiences that promote experiential learning, allowing students to actively construct and enhance their understanding.

Modern Iterative Instructional Design Models

- **Rapid Prototyping (Tripp & Bichelmeyer, 1990):**[27] This approach applies prototyping principles from software development to instructional design. Instead of waiting for full development to be complete, designers quickly create and test prototypes of instructional materials. Feedback from learners and stakeholders is then used to iteratively refine the instruction. This process helps reduce risk, shortens development time, and ensures that instructional products closely align with learner needs and organizational goals.
- **Four-Component Instructional Design Model (4C/ID, van Merriënboer, 1997):**[28] Developed to tackle the challenge of teaching complex cognitive skills, the 4C/ID model organizes learning around whole-task practice. It integrates four key components:
    - Learning tasks that promote the integration of knowledge, skills, and attitudes.
    - Supportive information that helps learners understand problem-solving strategies.
    - Procedural information that provides step-by-step guidance for routine aspects of tasks.
    - Part-task practice for developing automaticity in recurrent skills.

  This model is particularly effective in fields such as medicine, engineering, and technical training.
- **Pebble-in-the-Pond Model (Merrill, 2002):**[29] This alternative to the traditional ADDIE model is centered on real-world problems. It begins by identifying a specific task or challenge (the “pebble”) and then

[27] Tripp, S. D., & Bichelmeyer, B. (1990). Rapid prototyping: An alternative instructional design strategy. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 38*(1), 31–44.
[28] van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (1997). Training complex cognitive skills: A four-component instructional design model for technical training. *Educational Technology Publications*.
[29] Merrill, M. D. (2002). First principles of instruction. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 50*(3), 43–59.

designing instruction in expanding "ripples." Each ripple represents progressively more complex learning tasks, helping learners build their skills toward mastery. This model emphasizes authentic problem-solving, immediate learner engagement, and a strong connection between instructional design and real-world applications.

- **Action Mapping (Cathy Moore, 2008):**[30] Action Mapping is a performance-focused instructional design approach that is popular in workplace learning. Instead of starting with content, designers first identify the measurable performance outcomes that an organization wants to achieve. Instructional activities are then directly aligned with the behaviors required to reach those goals, eliminating extraneous content and focusing training on practice and decision-making. This lean, goal-oriented method is widely applied in corporate training and eLearning contexts.
- **The Learning Circle Framework™(Instructional Design Central, LLC, 2018):**[31] This instructional design model is rooted in agile and modern learning principles. It guides developers through three dynamic phases: Target, Create, and Launch.
    - **Target** establishes the purpose of the learning design and identifies the intended audience. This phase includes defining the audience, identifying the business problem, creating learner personas, and setting success metrics.
    - **Create** focuses on the design and development process, which involves building and organizing learner stories, curating content, prototyping, and finalizing the course.
    - **Launch** ensures effective delivery by planning the rollout, selecting delivery channels, devising a communications plan, and deploying the course.

IV. Current Instructional Design Practices

The modern workforce is increasingly dispersed, with many professionals working in hybrid or remote settings. As a result, instructional design strategies must be flexible, accessible, and capable of delivering consistent,

[30] Moore, C. (2008). *Map it! The hands-on guide to strategic training design.* Cathy Moore & Associates.
[31] Instructional Design Central, LLC. (2025). *The Learning Circle Framework™*. Instructional Design Central, LLC.

high-impact learning across diverse locations and schedules.

Effective learning experiences can integrate a variety of methods, including blended learning, microlearning, gamification, social and collaborative strategies, simulations, personalized and adaptive approaches, as well as data-driven design and evaluation. By leveraging technology, artificial intelligence, and inclusive design principles, these practices create engaging and equitable learning experiences that align with organizational goals. They also prepare employees to perform effectively in complex, dynamic work environments.[32]

Each of these approaches will be explored in more detail in the following sections.

- **Blended and Technology-Enhanced Learning**[33]
  Blended learning combines self-paced online materials (asynchronous) with the invaluable benefit of live, instructor-led interaction (synchronous), either in-person or virtual. This method transcends one-size-fits-all programs, enabling personalized and adaptive learning. Common models include:
    - **The Flipped Classroom Model**: Learners engage with foundational concepts through self-paced modules, reserving live sessions for application, collaboration, and problem-solving.
    - **The Rotation Model**: Training is structured as a fixed schedule of varied activities, such as eLearning, small-group discussions, and independent practice.
    - **The Flex Model**: Learners progress at their own pace via digital platforms, with instructors providing on-demand guidance and support.

  Blended learning utilizes multiple modalities to create a dynamic training experience that caters to various learning styles. This approach is crucial for maintaining learner engagement and improving knowledge retention.
- **Microlearning and Gamification**[34]

---

[32] Cleary, A. (n.d.). *How Blended Learning Enhances Training for a Hybrid Workforce.* Neovation.
[33] Ibid.
[34] Bhamidi, V. (January 2025). *5 Adult Learning Theories for Instructional Designers.* Easygenerator.; Hey, A. (September 2024). 7 Instructional Design Examples for Your Next Training Course. Coursebox AI.

Microlearning is particularly effective for busy professionals and frontline workers, as it delivers short, focused lessons, such as quick video tutorials or step-by-step guides. By breaking training into small, digestible chunks, this method reduces cognitive overload and fits seamlessly into demanding schedules, leading to more effective knowledge transfer.

Engagement can be further enhanced through gamification, which incorporates elements like points, badges, and leaderboards. These strategies boost motivation and make training more interactive, especially for adult learners who are balancing multiple

- **Learning Experience Design (LxD)**[35]
  Learning Experience Design (LxD) builds on engagement strategies like microlearning and gamification by emphasizing the overall learner experience rather than focusing solely on short, interactive modules. LxD prioritizes relevance, motivation, and the meaningful application of skills across all training modalities. As a learner-centered philosophy, it seeks to create engaging and meaningful learning experiences, rather than following a rigid, prescriptive sequence of instructional steps.

  By incorporating principles from user experience (UX) design, storytelling, and engagement strategies, LxD enhances workforce training, making it more relevant, motivating, and memorable. While LxD is not a formal instructional design model, it serves as a mindset that complements and strengthens frameworks such as ADDIE or SAM, ultimately enhancing the effectiveness of learning interventions. Moreover, LxD aligns with modern practices, including blended learning, simulations, and personalized learning, by ensuring that content is not only delivered but also effectively absorbed and applied.

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Data-Driven Learning Design**[36]
  Instructional design is experiencing a significant transformation due to the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics. This shift is changing the role of instructional designers from content creators to

[35] Schmidt, M., Earnshaw, Y., Tawfik, A., & Jahnke, I. (2023). Learning experience design. In *Foundations of Learning and Instructional Design Technology: Historical Roots & Current Trends*. EdTech Books.
[36] Prasad, RK. (March 2025). *The End of Instructional Design?* eLearning Industry.; Villanova University College of Professional Studies. (2025). Data, Design Thinking and the Changing Role of the Instructional Designer. Villanova University College of Professional Studies.

"learning experience curators" and "learning ecosystem architects." The future of learning will emphasize dynamic, living ecosystems that integrate seamlessly into professionals' daily work, rather than relying on rigid courses.

AI enables performance-based evaluation by assessing real-world skill application and on-the-job interactions, rather than relying on traditional quizzes or tests. AI-powered search tools and just-in-time learning reduce dependence on formal training, allowing employees to access relevant information exactly when they need it. Consequently, instructional designers will increasingly focus on curating AI-enhanced learning environments, promoting knowledge sharing, and aligning learning outcomes with measurable organizational impacts, such as productivity, employee engagement, and retention.

- **Personalized and Adaptive Learning**[37]
  Personalized and adaptive learning utilizes data analytics and AI to customize training experiences according to the individual needs, preferences, and performance patterns of learners. Adaptive platforms can modify the difficulty of content, recommend additional resources, and provide real-time feedback. This ensures that learners are adequately challenged without becoming overwhelmed. This approach maximizes skill acquisition, promotes mastery, and supports long-term retention.
- **Simulations, Immersive Technology, and Experiential Learning**[38]
  Simulations are highly effective in preparing professionals, such as social workers, for complex challenges in a safe and controlled

[37] U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. (May 2023). *Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations.* U.S. Department of Education.; Mahmoud, C. F., & Sørensen, J. T. (2024). Artificial intelligence in personalized learning with a focus on current developments and future prospects. *Research and Advances in Education, 3*(8), 25–31.

[38] Jefferies, G., Davis, C., Mason, J., & Yadav, R. (2023). Using simulation to prepare social work students for field education. *Social Work Education, 43*(5), 1369–1383.; Evans, L. (n.d.). *What is Instructional Design? [5 Examples + Overview].* University of San Diego.; Baker, E., & Jenney, A. (2022). Virtual simulations to train social workers for competency-based learning: A scoping review. *Journal of Social Work Education, 59*(1), 8–31.; Armstrong, S., McLaughlin, A.R. & Gadarowski, J.C. (2021). Enhancing Competency-Based Education with Virtual Reality Simulation. In A. deNoyelles, A. Albrecht, S. Bauer, & S. Wyatt (Eds.), *Teaching Online Pedagogical Repository.* Orlando, FL: University of Central Florida Center for Distributed Learning.

environment. Video-based or live-actor scenarios replicate real-world situations, allowing learners to conduct more thorough psychosocial assessments than traditional case studies usually permit. By simplifying complexity and alleviating the "fear of doing harm," simulations help manage cognitive load and foster skill development. Once created, virtual simulations can be standardized, scaled, and shared across online platforms, ensuring consistency, cost-effectiveness, and broad accessibility. Additionally, emerging technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) expand opportunities for immersive, hands-on practice, providing realistic and experiential learning environments where professionals can develop confidence and competence without risk.

- **Collaborative and Social Learning Approaches**[39]
  Fostering peer-to-peer interactions, mentorship, and community learning spaces encourages knowledge sharing, critical thinking, and professional networking. This approach creates a dynamic environment for skill development and organizational growth. Implementing social learning strategies, such as collaborative projects, discussion forums, and mentoring programs, enhances engagement by allowing learners to actively contribute, reflect, and apply knowledge in practical contexts.

  Peer-to-peer learning also develops essential skills, including leadership, communication, and problem-solving, as learners teach and learn from one another in real-world scenarios. By embedding social learning into instructional design, organizations can build knowledge capital, ensuring that expertise is shared, retained, and utilized across teams while promoting a culture of continuous learning.

- **Equity and Accessibility Considerations**[40]

[39] Herbert, N. (May 2025). *Unlock the Power of Peer-to-Peer Learning for Employees.* Chronus.; Mentoring Complete. (April 2024). *Peer Teaching: Overview, Benefits and Models.* Mentoring Complete.; Cloke, H. (July 2024). *Social Learning: Your Complete Guide to Peer-to-Peer Learning*. Growth Engineering.

[40] Shlasko, D. & Pacheco, R. (March 2024). *Applying Universal Design for Learning (UDL) Supports Inclusive Education*. Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) Learning for Justice.; Stapleton-Corcoran, E. (2022). *Universal Design for Learning (UDL).* Chicago, IL: University of Illinois Chicago-Center for the Advancement of Teaching Excellence (CATE).; DO-IT University of Washington (2018). *Universal Design in Higher Education: Promising Practices.* Seattle, WA: DO-IT University of Washington.; CAST (Center for Applied Special Technology). (2024). *The Universal Design for Learning Guidelines Version 3.0*. CAST.

Incorporating Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles ensures inclusive access for diverse learners by addressing variations in ability, language, culture, and technology access. UDL provides a flexible framework that emphasizes multiple means of engagement, representation, and expression. This allows learners to access content in ways that align with their strengths and needs. Inclusive design reduces barriers to learning, supports learners with disabilities, and accommodates differences in prior knowledge and learning preferences. Providing materials in multiple formats (e.g., text, audio, video) and offering flexible participation options enhances comprehension, motivation, and self-efficacy. By integrating UDL into technology-enhanced or hybrid learning environments, we can ensure equitable access to high-quality instruction, fostering a culture of belonging, engagement, and lifelong learning.

- **Measuring Training Effectiveness and Return on Investment (ROI)**[41] Modern workforce development increasingly emphasizes the need to connect training outcomes with organizational performance metrics. This ensures that learning initiatives directly contribute to business goals. Measuring return on investment (ROI) involves tracking key performance indicators (KPIs) such as productivity gains, service quality, employee retention, and competency development.

  Effective evaluation goes beyond simply assessing completion rates or gathering learner satisfaction surveys. Organizations are adopting data-driven approaches that quantify both the tangible and intangible benefits of training. These include faster time to proficiency, improved decision-making, and enhanced collaboration.

  By assessing both short-term and long-term outcomes, organizations can identify high-impact training programs, prioritize future investments, and continuously refine their instructional design strategies to meet the changing needs of the workforce. Linking training evaluation to organizational goals fosters accountability and demonstrates the strategic value of workforce development initiatives.

[41] Seymore, S. (2024). *Measuring the ROI of Your Training Initiatives: Unlocking Insights into the Effectiveness of Your Employee Development Programs*. Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) Labs.; RapL. (January 2025). *Top 15 Training and Learning Metrics to Drive Business Growth and ROI.*; van Vulpen, E. (2025). *13 Employee Training Metrics you Should Know [2025 edition]*. AIHR (Academy to Innovate HR).

Robust measurement frameworks empower leadership to make informed decisions about resource allocation, optimize instructional design, and justify training budgets. Ultimately, this approach cultivates a culture of continuous learning and performance improvement.

V. Applying Modern Instructional Design to Core Social Work Competencies

One of the primary challenges in social work training is bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. Social work professionals must not only comprehend ethical principles and trauma-informed practices on an intellectual level but also effectively apply this knowledge in high-stakes, complex, and emotionally charged situations. To achieve this, instructional design strategies must go beyond traditional lectures and knowledge tests to foster meaningful changes in behavior and attitudes.

Training in core social work competencies, such as ethics, cultural competence, and trauma-informed care, is increasingly shifting from simple knowledge acquisition to the development of behaviors and attitudes. This shift requires interactive, learner-centered approaches. The following examples demonstrate how modern instructional design might enhance workforce development and support some of these essential social work competencies.

- **Ethics and Mandated Training:**[42] Mandated ethics training, often required for licensure renewal, poses a distinct instructional design challenge. This training must go beyond mere compliance with standards to foster genuine ethical judgment. It should transition from traditional slides and knowledge checks to more interactive formats that include discussions, reflections, and real-world case studies. High-quality ethics training addresses contemporary issues, such as the application of AI in practice and the navigation of professional boundaries on social media. This equips social workers to make informed and ethical decisions in complex, real-world situations.

[42] Association of Social Work Boards. (2015). *ACE Resource Guide to Social Work Ethics Course Development.* Association of Social Work Boards.; Wood, E. (2012). Essential Ethics Education in Social Work Field Instruction. *Field Educator*. Boston, MA: Applied Learning in Social Work Education (ALSWO), Simmons School of Social Work.

- **Designing for Cultural Competence and Humility:**[43] Cultural competence is essential in social work practice. However, training has evolved from viewing competence as a fixed goal to recognizing it as a dynamic, lifelong process of cultural humility. Instead of providing a checklist of cultural norms, effective training focuses on fostering self-awareness, addressing implicit biases, and encouraging a willingness to learn from diverse experiences. The most effective training methods promote reflection and critical thinking. For example, scenario-based learning and case studies allow participants to engage with real-world ethical dilemmas, prompting them to examine their own assumptions and to consider the historical context and cultural trauma experienced by the populations they serve.
- **Trauma-Informed Instructional Design (TIID):**[44] Trauma-Informed Instructional Design (TIID) is based on the principles of Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) and aims to create psychologically safe learning environments for individuals who may have experienced trauma. TIC principles include Safety, Trustworthiness and Transparency, Peer Support, Collaboration, Empowerment, and Humility and Responsiveness. These principles guide instructional practices in the following ways:
    - Fostering safety by ensuring that learners feel secure enough to make mistakes and learn from them, such as through modular, self-paced training that allows them to pause and self-regulate.
    - Building trustworthiness through establishing transparent expectations, consistent policies, and clear assessment rubrics.
    - Promoting collaboration and empowerment by encouraging learners to contribute their input, share power, and make choices in their learning journey.

[43] Humana. (2025). *Cultural Humility, Health Equity and Implicit Bias Training for Providers.* Humana.; Watson, S. (January 2024). *Culturally Appropriate Curriculum Design for Educators.* Purdue University College of Education.
[44] Center for Health Care Strategies. (n.d.). *Fact Sheet: What is Trauma-Informed Care?* Trauma-Informed Care Implementation Resource Center.; Montgomery College. (n.d.). *7 Principles of Trauma-Informed Teaching.* The Hub.; Bastian, L. (n.d.). *Trauma-Informed Pedagogy (TIP).* University of Oregon.

OUR WHY:

REVOLUTIONIZE THE WAY PEOPLE WORK TO ENSURE THE WORLD IS A HEALTHIER PLACE.

Academy for Professional Excellence

Inquire. Inspire. Impact.

theacademy.sdsu.edu
6505 Alvarado Road, Suite 107; San Diego, CA 92120 | (619) 594-3546